From the INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)

(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

T

OLYNICK, David, P. Beyer Weaver & Thomas, LLP P.O. Box 70250 Oakind, CA 94612-0778 ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
23 March 2006 (23.03.2006)

Applicant's or agent's file reference

29757/P-924A

1GTIP214WG

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No. PCT/US2004/029983

International filing date (day/month/year)
14 September 2004 (14.09.2004)

Priority date (day/month/year) 15 September 2003 (15.09.2003)

Applicant

IGT et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP
SEEN/CONFIRMED

BY DOCKETING DEPT.

D) 1

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

Simin Baharlou

DATE: SI BY: ON

Facsimile No.+41 22 740 14 35

Facsimile No.+41 22 338 71 30

Form PCT/IB/326 (January 2004)

ATENT COOPERATION TREATS

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 29757/P-924A	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/029983	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 September 2004 (14.09.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 15 September 2003 (15.09.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant IGT			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.		mmunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but takes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

Date of issuance of this report 16 March 2006 (16.03.2006)

Telephone No. +41 22 338 71 30

Simin Baharlou

Authorized officer

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

FATENT COOPERATION TRESTY

Y REC'D 0 2 FEB 2005

From the		
INTERNATIONAL	SEARCHING	AUTHORITY

PCT

То:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE

see form PCT/ISA/220

3/3

INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/029983	International filing date (day/month/year) 14,09,2004		Priority date (day/month/year) 15.09.2003
International Patent Classification (IF G07F17/32	PC) or both national classification	and IPC	
Applicant IGT			

1.	. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items.		
	Box No. 1	Basis of the opinion	
	☐ Box No. II	Priority	
	☑ Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	⊠ Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	☐ Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
ļ	☐ Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Mennerun, S

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-7208



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/029983

_	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion
1.		egard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in guage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	la	nis opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following nguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search nder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	e of material:
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. forn	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.	ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional piles is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Additio	onal comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

Þ

International application No. PCT/US2004/029983

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The	questions whether the claimed ious), or to be industrially applic	inver able	ntion appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non have not been examined in respect of:	
	I the entire international application,			
\boxtimes	☑ claims Nos. 17-54			
bec	because:			
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):			
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.			
\boxtimes	no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 17-54			
	the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:			
	the written form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished	
			does not comply with the standard	
	the tables related to the nucleonot comply with the technical r	otide a requir	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.	
	See separate sheet for further	deta	ils	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/029983

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-54

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-54

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-54

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/029983

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. According to Article 17(2)(a)(ii) and Rule 39(1)(iii):

No International Searching Authority shall be required to search an international application if, and to the extent to which, its subject-matter is any of the following: [...] (iii) schemes, rules or methods of doing business, performing purely mental acts or playing games.

The examiner is of the preliminary opinion that the independent method claims 17, 25, 33, 39, 44, 49, 54 are related to playing game and should therefore be considered as non allowable subject-matter.

1.1 The related dependent claims call for the same objections.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Article 6 PCT:

The multiplicity of definitions of the invention given in independent claims 17, 25, 33, 39, 44, 49, 54 is contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT. A European patent application may contain more than one independent claim in the same category only if the subject-matter of the application involves (a) a plurality of interrelated products (such as a plug and a socket), (b) different uses of a product, or © alternative solutions to a particular problem which cannot be covered by a single claim. None of these apply to the present application.

Moreover, the claim set should be formulated concisely. Further, a diversity of wording for defining one and the same invention should be avoided in order not to render the claims inconsistent with each other and thus unclear.

Consequently, in the present case, it is appropriate to use only one independent claim per category.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/029983

2. Article 33(2) PCT:

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO-A-0 199 067 (INT GAME TECH) 27 December 2001
D2: EP-A-1 343 125 (WMS GAMING INC) 10 September 2003
D3: US-A-5 762 552 (VUONG SON THANH ET AL) 9 June 1998

The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of **claim 1**, and this document shows the following features thereof (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A gaming unit (Figures 1-7) for allowing a player to participate in a multi-player wagering game, said gaming unit comprising a set of displays, a gaming controller (Figures 1-7) operatively coupled to the displays.

Thus, all the above technical features are known from the available prior art and lack novelty under Article 33(2) PCT.

It has to be noted that D2 (see [0026]-[0036]) and D3 (Figures 1-3) also explicitly disclose the above claimed technical features, thereby attacking novelty under Article 33(2) PCT.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/029983

3. Article 33(3) and Rule 6.3 (a)-(c) PCT:

The remaining features of claim 1 are mere programming instructions of the gaming unit controller, said programming instructions being meant for controlling the displays.

However, those features do not contribute to solving any technical problem within the meaning of Rule 6.3 (a)-(c) PCT and thus cannot be taken into consideration for assessing the presence of an inventive step under Article 33(3) PCT.

4. In summary, as far as the present application can be understood, the examiner is of the opinion that the subject-matter of the claims is merely the implementation of some game according to its rules and the representation of particular information. Furthermore, only well-known or generic. functional means, such as wheels, reels, indicia, speakers, standard gaming unit, various display devices, means to receive input from players, et cetera, are used to those ends.

Rules and methods for playing games and presentation of information are, taken alone, not regarded as inventions (Article 17(2)(a)(ii) and Rule 39(1)(iii)) and can neither lend any technical character to the claims nor contribute to the solution of any technical problem. The other commonplace technical features of the claims do not offer any solution to any technical problem, even in combination with each other or with the non-technical features of the claims.

Therefore, there is no technical problem to be solved (Rule 6.3 (a)-(c) PCT), so that no inventive step is involved in the subject-matter of the claims (Article 33(3) EPC).

Thus, it was not possible to find any subject-matter in the application which could be regarded as potentially allowable under the Articles and Rules of the PCT.